Vibration transmission indices at junctions with cavity walls
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Introduction
The sound insulation between dwellings may be improved by applying cavity walls without wall ties. However, then the sound insulation is limited by flanking transmission, in which the junction properties, characterized by the vibration transmission index $K_{ij}$, play an important role. $K_{ij}$ is determined from formulas (1) and (2).

$$K_{ij} = D_{v,ij} + 10 \frac{l_j}{\sqrt{a_i \cdot a_j}} \text{ [dB]} \quad (1)$$

$$a = \frac{2.2 \pi^2 \cdot S \cdot f_{ref}}{c_0 \cdot T_s \cdot f} \text{ [m]} \quad (2)$$

$D_{v,ij}$ = direction averaged velocity level difference between element i and j [dB]
$l_j$ = coupling length between element and j [m]
$a_i, a_j$ = loss factors of relevant elements [m]
$S$ = area of relevant elements [$m^2$]
$T_s$ = structural reverberation time [s]
$c_0$ = velocity of sound in air, 340 [m/s]
$f$ = band centre frequency [Hz]
$f_{ref}$ = reference frequency, 1000 [Hz]

The values of $K_{ij}$ of junctions made of single homogenous building elements are rather well known. For junctions of cavity walls however, the values of $K_{ij}$ are not known quite well, partly because of lack of data from practice. Instead of this, empirical values are used in computer models, based on EN 12354-1 [1].

Therefore, measurements have been carried out in practice, during construction, to determine the values of $K_{ij}$ at several junctions with cavity walls made of aerated concrete. Also experiments have been done to get an impression of the accuracy of the test method and the situation-independence of $K_{ij}$.

Test objects; junction types
Measurements have been done on 3 types of junctions: cavity wall-floor on 1st and 2nd floor and cavity wall-façade constructed with aerated concrete (Figure 1).

Test method
The vibration level differences have been determined according to ISO 10848 using a battery-operated 2-channel impulse response Dirac measuring system [2]. The walls and floors were excited by means of a rubber hammer (Figure 2). Measurements have been confined to the octave bands 63-500 Hz for reasons of modal density and signal-to-noise ratio. Also the structural reverberation time was determined with this system.

Figure 3 shows the results of 6 successive measurements on the same junction, path wall-wall on the 2nd floor; the standard deviation is 1-2 dB.
Craftsmanship; invariance of $K_{ij}$

To get an idea of the accuracy of constructing a junction in practice, several measurements should preferably be done on one transmission path of 1 junction in exactly the same situation, but on different locations. On this, building site however, $K_{ij}$ could only be determined at the junction cavity wall-floor on 2nd floor level, for the transmission path: wall-wall, on 6 locations with different coupling lengths and floor areas (Figure 4). The results are presented in Figure 5, showing a larger variation at 63 and 125 Hz than those of Figure 3. Probably, the results are a mixture of craftsmanship and the invariance (or not) of $K_{ij}$ in different situations.

Measurements vs calculations

According to the model in EN 12354-1 the vibration transmission index $K_{ij}$ does not depend on the coupling length between 2 elements of a junction. To investigate this, measurements have been done on 3 identical junctions at 3 different locations concerning the junctions:

- floor-wall junction at 1st floor level
- floor-wall junction at 2nd floor level
- façade-wall junction at 1st floor level

For each junction $K_{ij}$ has been calculated according to the model of EN 12354-1. The computer program BASluco determines $K_{ij}$ along one transmission path by splitting up the path into several subpaths, determining the velocity level difference for every subpath and adding these differences like in Figure 6 [3].

Floor-wall junction at ground floor level

The plans and the junctions on 1st floor level are shown in Figure 7. The junctions differ in coupling length; the receiving room volumes are equal.

As usual with computer programs real structures have to be simplified to fit into the program’s input possibilities; this is especially the case at this junction. The real floor and its substitute are shown in Figure 8; the real junction detail and 2 possible substitutes, no cavity and a deep cavity, can be seen in Figure 9.
Figures 10 and 11 show the results of measurements and calculations for the path floor-floor and floor-wall respectively. The measured values of $K_{ij}$ are frequency-dependant and lie in between the calculation results with the 2 substitutes of the junction detail.

Floor-wall junction at 2nd floor level

It concerns the junctions 1, 2 and 3 of Figure 4, characterised by a small receiving room area, a large receiving room area and a long coupling length respectively. The results of measurements and calculations of the paths floor-floor and floor-wall are shown in Figure 12 and 13 respectively.

Facade-wall junction at 1st floor level

Measurements and calculations have been carried out on the paths facade-facade and facade-wall. During construction there was an opportunity to do measurements on the facade-facade path before the outer leaf had been erected. Calculations have been done both without a cavity in the floor-wall detail and with a deep cavity. The floor plan is shown in Figure 14, the measurement and calculation results in Figures 15 and 16.
Conclusions

The repeatability of the test method is reasonable for measurements in practice.

Measurement results seem to depend on craftsmanship at 63 and 125 Hz, when looking at the results of repeatability measurements.

The values of $K_{ij}$ for the path floor-floor at the 1st floor junction depend on the modeling of the cavity between the floors: no cavity or a deep cavity. Measurements lie in between the results for these 2 substitutes. For the path floor-wall, calculations yield lower values at low frequencies and higher values at high frequencies.

For the path floor-floor at 2nd floor level, calculations yield about the same values for $K_{ij}$ at low frequencies and higher values at high frequencies, whereas the path floor-wall shows the same tendencies as the same path on 1st floor level: lower values at low frequencies and about the same values at high frequencies.

The vibration transmission indices at the facade-wall junction at 1st floor level are underestimated by the calculations for both paths, in contradiction to results from previous research [4]. Also the frequency-dependancy is quite different. Adding the outer leaf to the inner leaf yields lower values for $K_{ij}$.

Looking at the calculation results, the values of $K_{ij}$ for both paths facade-facade and facade–wall, seem to be influenced by the choice of the substitute cavity at floor level (no or deep cavity).
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